

BEFORE THE
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:)
)
COMMONWEALTH EDISON)
COMPANY,)
)
Petitioner,)
) No. 12-0505
)
Petition for)
reconciliation of revenues)
collected under rider UF)
with uncollectible costs)
incurred.)

Chicago, Illinois

March 7, 2013

Met, pursuant to adjournment, at 9:59 a.m.,
in Conference Room S-801, 160 North LaSalle Street,
Chicago, Illinois.

BEFORE: Ms. Leslie Haynes, Administrative Law Judge

1 APPEARANCES:

2
3 EIMER STAHL, LLP,
4 (224 South Michigan Avenue, Suite 1100,
5 Chicago, Illinois 60504-2516,
6 (312) 660-7618), by:
7 MR. JONATHAN M. WIER,
8 jwier@eimerstahl.com,
9 for the Petitioner;

10 PRESENT: (Via telephone)

11
12 ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
13 (527 East Capitol Avenue,
14 Springfield, Illinois 62701,
15 (217) 785-3922,
16 MS. BONITA PEARCE, and
17 MS. JOAN HOWARD,
18 For the Staff.

19 Also Present:

20
21 Mr. Eugene Bernstein,
22

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

I N D E X

WITNESS	DX	CX	RDX	RCX	By Judge
---------	----	----	-----	-----	----------

None.

E X H I B I T S

ComEd No.	MARKED FOR ID	IN EVIDENCE
-----------	---------------	-------------

1.0, 2.0 with
attachments 2.1
through 2.8, 3.0,
4.0, and 5.0

5

7

Staff No.	MARKED FOR ID	IN EVIDENCE
-----------	---------------	-------------

1.0 with Schedule
1.1 and Exhibit 1.1
affidavit, 2.0,
and 2.1

7

9

1 JUDGE HAYNES: Pursuant to the direction of the
2 Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call Docket
3 12-0505. This is Commonwealth Edison Company's
4 petition for reconciliation of revenues collected
5 under rider UF with uncollectible costs incurred.

6 May I have the appearances for the
7 record, please?

8 MR. WIER: On behalf of Commonwealth Edison
9 Company, this is Jonathan Wier, W-i-e-r. I'm with
10 the law firm Eimer Stahl, LLP, 224 South Michigan
11 Avenue, Suite 1100, Chicago, Illinois, 60604,
12 telephone number (312) 660-7600.

13 MR. BERNSTEIN: I'm just observing.

14 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.

15 And who is on the phone today?

16 MS. PEARCE: My name is Bonita Pearce. I'm an
17 accountant in the accounting department of the
18 Financial Analysis Division of the Illinois Commerce
19 Commission. My address is 527 East Capitol Avenue,
20 Springfield, Illinois, 62701.

21 JUDGE HAYNES: Are there any further
22 appearances?

1 MS. HOWARD: Yes. My name is Joan Howard. I'm
2 a consumer policy analyst in the Consumer Services
3 Division of the Illinois Commerce Commission. And my
4 address is 527 East Capitol Avenue, Springfield,
5 Illinois, 62701.

6 JUDGE HAYNES: Thank you.

7 I understand from the parties that
8 we're going to go ahead and have the evidentiary
9 hearing today, and so I'll let the company begin.

10 MR. WIER: Thank you, your Honor.

11

12 (WHEREUPON, the documents were
13 marked ComEd Exhibits 1.0, 2.0 with
14 attachments 2.1 through 2.8, 3.0,
15 4.0, and 5.0 for identification.)

16

17 MR. WIER: We would move for admission into
18 evidence the following exhibits, all of which have
19 been filed on e-docket. The first is ComEd Exhibit
20 1.0, which is Commonwealth Edison Company's annual
21 report to the Illinois Commerce Commission concerning
22 the operation of rider UF uncollectible factors.

1 This was for the period beginning June 1, 2011, and
2 extending through May 31, 2012. This was filed on
3 e-docket on August 31, 2012.

4 The second item is ComEd Exhibit 2.0,
5 and this is the direct testimony of Kevin J. Waden,
6 W-a-d-e-n. And this was filed via e-docket on August
7 31, 2012. This exhibit attaches ComEd Exhibits 2.1,
8 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.6, 2.7, and 2.8. All of these
9 exhibits were filed on e-docket on August 31, 2012.

10 ComEd Exhibit 3.0 is the direct
11 testimony of William B. DeLoach, D-e-L-o-a-c-h. And
12 this was filed via e-docket on August 31, 2012, as
13 well.

14 ComEd Exhibit 4.0 is the affidavit of
15 Kevin J. Waden. This was filed via e-docket on March
16 6, 2013.

17 And finally, ComEd Exhibit 5.0 is the
18 affidavit of William B. DeLoach, which was also filed
19 on e-docket on March 6, 2013.

20 JUDGE HAYNES: Does staff object to the
21 admittance of these exhibits into the record?

22 MS. PEARCE: No, your Honor.

1 JUDGE HAYNES: ComEd Exhibits 1.0, 2.0 with
2 attachments 2.1 through 2.8, 3.0, 4.0, and 5.0 are
3 admitted into the record.

4 MR. WIER: Thank you, your Honor.

5
6 (WHEREUPON, the documents marked
7 ComEd Exhibits 1.0, 2.0 with
8 attachments 2.1 through 2.8, 3.0,
9 4.0, and 5.0 for identification
10 were admitted into evidence.)
11

12 JUDGE HAYNES: Staff?

13
14 (WHEREUPON, the documents were
15 marked Staff Exhibit 1.0 with
16 Schedule 1.1 and Exhibit 1.1
17 affidavit as well as Staff Exhibit
18 2.0 and 2.1 for identification.)
19

20 MS. PEARCE: Staff would like to move for the
21 admission into the record of Staff Exhibit 1.0
22 entitled, Direct Testimony of Bonita A. Pearce,

1 consisting of a cover page, five pages of narrative
2 testimony, and Schedule 1.1, pages one through three.
3 I would also like to move ICC Staff Exhibit 1.1, the
4 affidavit of Bonita A. Pearce, also a document
5 entitled, ICC Staff Exhibit 2.0, the direct testimony
6 of Joan Howard, consisting of a cover page and four
7 pages of narrative testimony, along with Staff
8 Exhibit 2.01, the affidavit of Joan Howard.

9 JUDGE HAYNES: And were these all filed on
10 e-docket?

11 MS. PEARCE: Yes, they were.

12 JUDGE HAYNES: Does the company object?

13 MR. WIER: We have no objection.

14 JUDGE HAYNES: Staff Exhibit 1.0 with Schedule
15 1.1 and Exhibit 1.1 affidavit as well as Staff
16 Exhibit 2.0 and 2.1 are admitted into the record.

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 (WHEREUPON, the documents marked
2 Staff Exhibit 1.0 with Schedule 1.1
3 and Exhibit 1.1 affidavit as well
4 as Staff Exhibit 2.0 and 2.1 for
5 identification were admitted into
6 evidence.)
7

8 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.

9 Is there any further evidence?

10 MR. WIER: No further evidence from the
11 company.

12 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.

13 And I understand that there are no
14 outstanding issues, and the company is going to
15 circulate a draft order?

16 MR. WIER: Correct. And we would offer to do
17 that on April 2, 2013, by providing that to staff
18 with comments back by April 9, 2013. And we would
19 propose that we would file the proposed order on
20 April 16, 2013.

21 JUDGE HAYNES: And is that schedule agreeable
22 to you, Staff?

1 MS. PEARCE: Yes, it is.

2 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay. That sounds good to me.

3 Is there a date by which the company
4 is looking for an order or just soon thereafter?

5 MR. WIER: Soon thereafter would be good.

6 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.

7 Is there anything else?

8 MR. WIER: No, nothing from us, your Honor.

9 MS. PEARCE: Nothing from staff, your Honor.

10 JUDGE HAYNES: Okay.

11 This matter is marked heard and taken.

12 Thank you.

13 MS. PEARCE: Thank you.

14 MR. WIER: Thank you.

15

16 (END OF PROCEEDINGS.)

17

18

19

20

21

22